Kinetic Model Study of Dry Reforming of Methane Using Cold Plasma
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The plasma dry reforming of methane (PDRM) was studied using corona and glow discharge reactors at room temperature. The chemical kinetic model was developed to describe the experimental behavior observed. The kinetic model is proposed based on the assumption that the reactant molecules CH\textsubscript{4} or CO\textsubscript{2} are attacked by active species produced by the plasma discharges, and the production of this active species are function of the plasma power. The modeling allows to foresee the reactants conversion (CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2}) according to the energy transferred to the gas (P × τ), while considering the argon dilution value in the feed gas. The β value was characteristic of the energy cost; the lower β value indicated better efficiency. The β value of CH\textsubscript{4} was found to be 10.42 and 9.91 J and for CO\textsubscript{2} equal to 12.24 and 15.42 J for corona and glow discharge plasma, respectively. This result is in accordance with the higher dissociation energy of CO\textsubscript{2} compared to CH\textsubscript{4}.
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\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

In recent years, about 85% of energy consumption is obtained from fossil fuels (such as coal, crude oil, and natural gas). Human activities and utilization of fossil resources resulted in emissions of greenhouse gasses (CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O) as a result of global warming [1,2]. Hydrogen is a healthy fuel source and considered as an environmentally friendly material in different industries. The conversion of methane to hydrogen, added-value chemicals such as hydrocarbons and methanol to replace fuels attracted a lot of attentions. DRM is production of synthesis gas (hydrogen and carbon monoxide) from CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} by the following intensively endothermic reaction:

\[ \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + 2\text{CO} \]

\[ \Delta H = 247\text{kJ.mol}^{-1} \] (1)
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Thermodynamically, DRM occurs at the temperature > 640 °C. If this reaction takes place at temperatures lower than 800 °C, carbon may produce, meanwhile, carbon deposition is formed from methane decomposition at high temperature [3]. According to the thermodynamic principles, the Gibbs free energy change of DRM reaction (ΔG) is positive, so the reaction is thermodynamically unfavorable [4].

Synthesis gas is an important feedstock for the Fischer-Tropsch reaction to produce methanol, liquid hydrocarbons, etc. The Fischer-Tropsch process is a collection of chemical reactions converting synthesis gas into liquid hydrocarbons [5-7].

Recently, non-thermal plasma reactors introduced one of the newest processes for DRM. The benefits of using the cold plasma reactors consist of: non-equilibrium phase, need to low input power and the capacity to perform gas reactions at low temperatures. Also, this method overcomes the high-temperature problem in the catalytic processes [8]. The DRM processes were investigated for producing
synthesis gas by using a manifold of plasma sources such as the microwave (MW) discharges [9], dielectric barrier discharges (DBDs) [10], corona discharges [11] and gliding arc discharges (GADs) [12]. In these studies, CO₂ and CH₄ are often introduced into the plasma reactor with a dilution gas like helium or argon [13,14].

Methane plasma reforming and finding optimum conditions were abstruse. Also, the products selectivity’s prediction and mechanism of the reaction were a theoretical problem. In recent years, in order to achieve the optimal prediction, describing and solving this problem, kinetic models and mathematical modeling were used [15-18]. In the plasma-assisted methane coupling reactions such as CO₂ reforming and partial oxidation reactions, studied poorly referred to reaction mechanisms and kinetic models.

In this research, the PDRM is studied for the two types of cold plasma reactors (corona and glow discharge) and then the results of PDRM are compared with other non-thermal plasmas. Also, the kinetic model is developed to estimate the real perspectives of PDRM in the plasma field.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

In this study, to produce synthesis gas from methane and carbon dioxide at atmospheric pressure the corona and glow discharge plasma were used. Figure 1 depicts the experimental setup and schematic of the plasma reactors.

The corona discharge plasma tubular microreactor consisted of a wire-plate tungsten electrode configuration. The reactor vertically oriented, with the gas flow from top to bottom. The upper electrode was a tungsten wire suspended and centered axially within the reactor tube. A dc power supply was used with a high-voltage transformer of 0-12 kV to initiate corona discharges. An oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS2024B) measured the typical breakdown voltage (about 5-6 kV), and the discharge power. The corona's current was in the range of 0.5-5 mA (Fig. 1b). The outer diameter of the reactor is 30 mm, the length of the reactor is 50 mm.

The glow discharge plasma reactor consists of two tungsten electrodes located inside the hourglass shaped quartz tube with inner diameter 7 mm top and bottom and 2 mm in the middle (Fig. 1c). The special design for plasma reactor lead all feed gasses to cross from plasma region. The reactor was oriented vertically, with the gas flow from top to bottom. The upper electrode was needle-shaped and connected to a high voltage, it was at the positive potential as the anode and the diameter of it was 2 mm. The plate electrode was grounded as the cathode and its potential is 0 (V). Ionized gasses were generated between these electrodes inside the quartz tube. The outer diameter of the reactor is 40 mm and the length of the reactor is 100 mm.

The mass flow controllers (Brooks 5850TR) controlled the flow rates of the two ultra pure reactants, CH₄ (>99.99%) and CO₂ (>99.5%) in a molar ratio of CH₄/CO₂ = 1/2 and 3, with 60% argon as a diluting gas, for corona and glow discharge plasma reactor set up. The reactants were well-mixed and flowed through the reactor at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. However, the temperatures of the reactants increased as they passed through the plasma area as a result of the conversion of electric energy into heat energy. Under each set of conditions, for stabilization before product analysis allowed 60 min

Two condensers introduced the exhaust gas from the reactors, cooled by a mixture of water and ice to remove the formed water and liquid organic products such as alcohols. The compositions of the feed gas mixture and the outlet gas were quantitatively measured by an online gas chromatograph (Agilent 6890N) equipped with a thermal conductivity (TCD) and a flame ionization detector (FID). The flow rates of the inlet and outlet gas were also measured by a soap-bubble flow meter to carry out balance calculations of the elements.

The experimental setup sections and their function are shown in Table 1 [11]. Methane and carbon dioxide conversion are defined as follows:

CH₄ Conversion (%) = \frac{\text{moles of CH}_4\text{converted}}{\text{moles of CH}_4\text{introduced}} \times 100 \quad (2)

CO₂ Conversion (%) = \frac{\text{moles of CO}_2\text{converted}}{\text{moles of CO}_2\text{introduced}} \times 100 \quad (3)

The following relation is applied for calculation of selectivity and yield of products:
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of Dilution by Argon on Energy Deposit

The dilution by argon varied from 20-60% while the molar ratio $\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}_2$ was kept constant. The deposited energy was measured at 12 kV. The energy deposit per pulse increased significantly as the dilution by argon increased, whatever the applied voltage. This phenomenon is due to the physico-chemical modification of the
Table 1. Experimental Data Ranges Used in this Study for Development of Chemical Kinetic Model [11]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of plasma: Corona discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed flow rate (ml min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂/CH₄ ratio = 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCH₄%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of plasma: Glow discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed flow rate (ml min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂/CH₄ ratio = 3,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage (kV) = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode gap (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed flow rate (ml min⁻¹) = 100, Electrode gap (mm) = 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCH₄%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
plasmagen gas resulting from the presence of the dilution gas: argon. The argon such as helium leads to a lower breakdown voltage leading to a higher energy deposit [19].

**Conversion, Reactivity, and Selectivity**

The higher reactivity of reactants molecules (CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\)) in the presence of argon is explained by the “Penning ionization” phenomenon, which corresponds to an energy transfer from the excited atom or molecule to other atom or molecule in ground state [20-22]. In this case, the energy transfers proceed from metastable argon (Ar*) to the reactant molecules (CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\)). Whatever the CH\(_4\)/Ar mole ratio decreased, the CH\(_4\) conversion increased significantly. The reactant dissociation is shown as Eqs. (6) and (7) [23,24]:

\[
\text{Ar} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{CH}_3 + \text{H} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{Ar}^* + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{O} + \text{O} \quad (7)
\]

The results can be explained by the mechanisms of the reactions involved, indeed H\(_2\) and CO are formed directly from CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) while the formation of other hydrocarbons (C\(_2\) and C\(_3\)) requires the recombination of methyl radicals according to the following reactions:

\[
e + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O} \quad (8)
\]

\[
e + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{H} \quad (9)
\]

\[
e + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2 + \text{CH}_2 \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{O} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} \quad (11)
\]

\[
2 \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{C}_2 \text{H}_6 \quad (12)
\]

\[
e + \text{C}_2 \text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{C}_2 \text{H}_4 + \text{H}_2 \quad (13)
\]

\[
e + \text{C}_2 \text{H}_4 \rightarrow \text{C}_2 \text{H}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (14)
\]

As soon as the reactive species (methyl radicals) are diluted with argon, the probability of the collision of radicals decreases, consequently the selectivities for higher hydrocarbons decreases as the dilution factor increases.

The CH\(_4\) (XCH\(_4\)) and CO\(_2\) (XCO\(_2\)) conversion significantly decrease when the feed flow rate increases, which can be attributed to a decrease of the residence time of the methane and carbon dioxide in the discharge volume, resulting in a reduced chance for reactant molecules (CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\)) to collide with energetic electrons (e) and reactive species (Ar* and CH\(_3\)) (Fig. 2).

The discharge power is an effective factor for the plasma processing of methane. In corona plasma, the conversion of CH\(_4\) and yield of hydrogen almost linearly increase with the increase of discharge power for 10 W that is reaching to 62% at 10 W. The maximum yield of hydrogen is 40 % for corona discharge plasma. In addition, CO selectivity increases from 75 to 80%. The C\(_2\) hydrocarbons produced from Eqs. (12)-(14) in plasma phase, these hydrocarbons broken again, so producing CO molecule probability increased. Meanwhile, hydrogen reacts with oxygen atoms (Eq. (8)) and H\(_2\)O is produced, thus H\(_2\) selectivity decreases to 65% from 4 to 10 W.

In glow discharge plasma, conversion of CH\(_4\) increases with the increase of discharge power for 16 W. Thus, the CH\(_4\) conversion increases from 73 to 89%. The maximum yield of hydrogen is 26% for glow discharge plasma. Figure 3 shows the conversion of CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) for the two plasma reactors at different input plasma powers.

Table 1 summarized the overall range of the operating condition used in this study for developing the chemical kinetic models.

**Comparison of DRM Among Different Non-thermal Plasmas**

Table 2 presents interesting results when comparing the DRM by different typical plasmas. XCH\(_4\), XCO\(_2\), SH\(_2\) and SCO were applied for prediction of the methane reforming performance. Our plasmas show a good result.
A Global Chemical Kinetic Model

A simplified global kinetic model can describe the experimental behavior observed by changing the argon dilution factor. The two reactors used in this experiment were compared with a global kinetic model to describe the DRM reaction behavior. In different plasma reactors such as corona and DBD in the field of methane and other hydrocarbons conversion used this model [25,26,32-35]. The free radical processes are the main mechanisms in non-equilibrium plasma reaction [7,33,36].

The model steps were:
1. The active species (R) such as radicals and Ar active species produced by plasma discharges attacked to methane or carbon monoxide molecules (S):

![Fig. 2. Effect of feed flow rate on (a) CH₄ and (b) CO₂ conversions in corona and glow discharge plasma ((Ar = 60 % dilution, CH₄/CO₂ = 0.5, 3 power = 4, 10 W; respectively).](image1)

![Fig. 3. Effect of input plasma power on (a) CH₄ and (b) CO₂ conversion in corona and glow discharge plasma ((Ar = 60 % dilution, CH₄/CO₂ = 0.5, 3 feed flow rate=100 ml/min; respectively).](image2)
S + R $\rightarrow$ products

2. The reaction rate was: $r = k_1 R S$

where, $k_1$ is the reaction rate constant, R is the radical concentration, and S is the reactant concentration.

3. The plasma input power and production rate of R was commensurate: $r_R \times P$

where, $r_R$ is the production rate of radicals per power supplied.

The Eqs. of (8) and (9) were mass conservation of the reactant molecules (S) and radicals (R) [25]:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -k_1 R S$$

(17)

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = r_R \times P - k_2 R - k_1 R S_0$$

(18)

where, $k_2$ represents the reaction rate constant of the R loss, P the input power and $S_0$ the reactant (CH$_4$ + CO$_2$) initial concentration.

By application of the stationary state principle and if we expect that the plasma discharges are reproducible, the concentration of radicals is constant along the reactor [25]. Thus,

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = 0$$

and

$$R = \frac{r_R \times P}{k_2 + k_1 R S_0}$$

(19)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -k_1 S + \frac{r_R \times P}{k_2 + k_1 R S_0}$$

(20)

After integration from $t = 0$ (reactor inlet) to $\tau$ = s (reactor outlet):

$$\frac{S}{S_0} = \exp\left(-\frac{k_1 r_R \times P \times \tau}{k_2 + k_1 R S_0}\right)$$

(21)

or

$$\ln(1 - \chi) = -\frac{P \times \tau}{\beta(S_0)}$$

(22)
There is an exponential function of the CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ conversion and product. A linear function between the initial reactant concentration and the production rate of radicals represents β factor. Figures 4 and 5 present the β

\[ \ln(1-X) = \left( -\frac{P_{xt}}{\beta(S_0)} \right) \]  

\[ \beta(S_0) = \frac{1}{r_x} \left( \frac{k_2}{k_1} + S_0 \right) \]  

\[ X = 1 - \exp\left( -\frac{P_{xt}}{\beta(S_0)} \right) \]
values evaluated with the CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ concentrations. In this study, the β value for CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ are equal to 10.42 and 12.24 J for corona discharge plasma, and to 9.91 and 15.42 J for glow discharge plasma respectively, because of the higher dissociation energy of CO$_2$ compared to CH$_4$.

The evolution of β values with the CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ concentrations are presented in Figs. 6 and 7. A linear increase of β with increasing the concentration of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ are observed. The β value is characteristic of the energy cost. In the literature, the lower β value indicated better efficiency [36-38].

Table 3 shows the obtained values of $r_R$ and $k_2/k_1$ for CH$_4$ and CO$_2$. It shows that the production rate of radicals depends strongly on the reactant. The $k_2/k_1$ ratio value indicated the dominate reaction; the reaction between active species and reactant driving to product formation, or the active species loss reaction by recombination or desexcitation [25].
In corona and glow discharge plasma, for CO$_2$, the value of the $k_2/k_1$ ratio (0.24, 0.21) respectively; indicates that the active species reactions are favored compared to their loss. For CH$_4$ ($k_2/k_1$ ratio: 0.33, 0.31) respectively, the radicals are lost in the reaction, also those emanated from CH$_4$ recombination occurs more than from CO$_2$ [25]. The following equation calculated the β values:

$$X = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{P \times \tau}{\beta(S_0)}\right)$$

As shown in Figs. 8-11, this modeling is fitted well with the experimental data of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ conversions in the presence of plasma discharges. Based on this simple kinetic model, there is an authentic correlation between the CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ conversions and energy transferred to the gas during plasma discharge ($P \times \tau$).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The PDRM was investigated in the corona and glow
discharge reactors at atmospheric pressure and room temperature. The chemical kinetic model was developed to describe the experimental behavior observed. The kinetic model is proposed based on the assumption that the reactant molecules CH₄ or CO₂ are attacked by active species produced by the plasma discharges, and the production of this active species are function of the plasma power. The modeling allows to foresee the reactant conversion (CH₄ and CO₂) according to the energy transfer to the gas (P × τ), but the model consider also the argon dilution value in the

**Fig. 9.** The simulated behavior of CO₂ conversion according to energy transferred to the gas during corona plasma discharge: P × τ (J) (●, ■: experimental, - - - calculated data and σ: standard deviation).

**Fig. 10.** The simulated behavior of CH₄ conversion according to energy transferred to the gas during glow plasma discharge: P × τ (J) (●, ■: experimental, - - - calculated data and σ: standard deviation).
feed gas. The $\beta$ value was characteristic of the energy cost, the lower $\beta$ value indicated better efficiency. The $\beta$ value of CH$_4$ was found to be 10.42 and 9.91 J and for CO$_2$ is equal to 12.24 and 15.42 J for corona and glow discharge plasma, respectively. This result is in accordance with the higher dissociation energy of CO$_2$ compared to CH$_4$. The experimental data (CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ conversion) fits very well with the proposed kinetic law. The kinetic model demonstrated that there is an exponential function of the reactant conversion and plasma energy. This model also represents that a plasma reactor with a smaller CH$_4$/CO$_2$ molar ratio (corona discharge plasma) has a higher energy efficiency for CO$_2$ and lower for CH$_4$.
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