## APPENDICES

## A. Wilson Model:

The Wilson model, consistent with the Flory Huggins relation, uses the concept of "local composition" and accounts for the differences in both molecular and intermolecular forces. The overall solution ( $\Phi_{i}=V_{i L} / V_{L}$ ) is replaced by local-volume fractions $\bar{\Phi}_{i}$ given by Equation (1):
$\bar{\Phi}_{i}=\frac{V_{i L} x_{i} \exp \left(-\lambda_{i i} / R T\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{C} V_{j L} x_{j} \exp \left(-\lambda_{i j} / R T\right)}$
where interaction energies $\lambda_{i j}=\lambda_{j i}$, but $\lambda_{i j} \neq \lambda_{j i}$.
For a binary system, the Wilson model for the excess Gibbs energy can be expressed as follows:
$\frac{G^{E}}{R T}=-x_{1} \ln \left(x_{1}+\Lambda_{12} x_{2}\right)-x_{2} \ln \left(\Lambda_{21} x_{1}+x_{2}\right)$
where $G^{E}$ is the Gibbs energy. Values of $\Lambda_{i j}<1$ correspond to positive deviation from Raoult's law while values greater than 1 result in slightly negative deviations. An ideal solution is observed when $\Lambda_{i j}=1$. The interaction parameters $\Lambda_{i j}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{12}=\frac{V_{2}^{L}}{V_{1}^{L}} \exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \lambda_{12}}{R T}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \Lambda_{21}=\frac{V_{1}^{L}}{V_{2}^{L}} \exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \lambda_{21}}{R T}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \lambda_{i j}=\lambda_{i j}-\lambda_{j i}$ are the binary parameters. It is known that $\lambda_{i i}$ and $\lambda_{i j}$ are temperature dependent and that $V_{i L} / V_{j L}$ are dependent on temperature, but the variation is small compared to the effect of temperature on the exponential terms in Equations (3) and (4).
For a binary mixture, the activity coefficients $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ can be obtained from the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \gamma_{1}=-\ln \left(x_{1}+\Lambda_{12} x_{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{12}}{x_{1}+\Lambda_{12} x_{2}}-\frac{\Lambda_{21}}{\Lambda_{21} x_{1}+x_{2}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \ln \gamma_{2}=-\ln \left(x_{2}+\Lambda_{21} x_{1}\right)-x_{1}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{12}}{x_{1}+\Lambda_{12} x_{2}}-\frac{\Lambda_{21}}{\Lambda_{21} x_{1}+x_{2}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

When insufficient data are available to determine binary parameters from the best fit of activity coefficients, infinite dilution or single-point values can be used. At infinite dilution, the Wilson equation becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \gamma_{1}^{\infty}=1-\ln \Lambda_{12}-\Lambda_{21}  \tag{7}\\
& \ln \gamma_{2}^{\infty}=1-\ln \Lambda_{21}-\Lambda_{12} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. UNIFAC Model

The UNIFAC model represents the activity coefficient as the sum of a combinatorial part (the contribution due to differences in the molecular size and shape of the molecules in the mixture) and a residual one (the contribution due to the molecular interactions or energy interactions).
$\ln \gamma_{i}=\ln \gamma_{i}^{\text {com }}+\ln \gamma_{i}^{\text {res }}$
The two contributions are determined by three parameters, namely, the group surface area parameter ${ }^{\circledR}$, the group volume contribution $(\mathrm{Q})$, and the binary interaction parameter $a_{m n}$. The combinatorial part is obtained as follows:
$\ln \gamma_{i}^{c o m}=\ln \frac{\Phi_{i}}{x_{i}}+\frac{z}{2} q_{i} \ln \frac{\theta_{i}}{\Phi_{i}}+\ell_{i}-\frac{\Phi_{i}}{x_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{c}} x_{j} \ell_{j}$
$\Phi_{i}=\frac{r_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{c}} r_{j} x_{j}}$
$\theta_{i}=\frac{q_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{c}} q_{j} x_{j}}$
$\ell_{i}=\frac{z}{2}\left(r_{i}-q_{i}\right)-r_{i}+1$
where z is the coordination number, generally set to be equal to $10 . \Phi_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ are the volume fraction and surface fraction of molecule $i$ in the system, respectively. Two sets of structural parameters are introduced, one for the compound, $r$ and $q$, and one for the molecular groups, $R$ and $Q$. Both sets of structural parameters are related to the van der Waals volume and surface area of either a compound or a molecular group. The parameters $r_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ are calculated as the sum of the area parameters and volume of groups, as shown below:
$r_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{g, j}} v_{k}^{(i)} R_{k}$
$q_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{g, j}} v_{k}^{(i)} Q_{k}$
The residual part is obtained by the solution concept in groups:
$\ln \gamma_{i}^{r e s}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{g, j}} v_{k}^{(i)}\left[\ln \Gamma_{k}-\ln \Gamma_{k}^{(i)}\right]$
where $\Gamma_{k}$ is the activity coefficient of a molecular group, $\Gamma_{k}^{i}$ is the residual activity coefficient of group $k$ in a reference solution containing only molecules of type $\boldsymbol{i}$, and $\boldsymbol{v}$ refers to the number of a group per compound. The residual activity coefficients are obtained by Equation (17):
$\ln \Gamma_{k}=Q_{k}\left[1-\ln \left(\sum_{m=1}^{N_{g}} \Theta_{m} \Psi_{m k}\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{N_{g}} \frac{\Theta_{m} \Psi_{k m}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{g}} \Theta_{n} \Psi_{n m}}\right]$
where $\Theta_{m}$ is the area-fraction of molecular group $m$ and is calculated by Equation (18):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{m}=\frac{Q_{m} X_{m}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{g}} Q_{n} X_{n}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{m}$, the mole fraction of a molecular group, is calculated by Equation (19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{G}} v_{m}^{(i)} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g}} v_{j}^{(i)} x_{i}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{m n}$ are the energy interaction parameters between groups $m$ and $n$, and are calculated by Equation (20):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{m n}=\exp \left[-\frac{U_{m n}-U_{n n}}{R T}\right]=\exp \left[-\frac{a_{m n}}{R T}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{m n}$ is a measure of the interaction energy between groups $m$ and $n$.

## C. Deviations between the Experimental and Predicted Data in the $n$-Butane + Methanol System

Table A. MRD (Mean Relative Deviation) and BIAS (on $x$ and y) Obtained in Fitting the Experimental VLE Data ( $n$-butane + methanol) with PR, PC-SAFT, and SAFT-VR EoS [1]

| The <br> n-butane+ methanol system | PR |  |  |  | SAFT-VR |  |  |  | PC-SAFT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T(K) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIAS } \\ & x \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | BIAS <br> $y$ <br> (\%) | MRD <br> $x$ <br> (\%) | MRD $y$ (\%) | BIAS $x$ <br> (\%) | BIAS <br> $y$ <br> (\%) | MRD <br> $x$ <br> (\%) | MRD $y$ (\%) | BIAS <br> $x$ <br> (\%) | BIAS <br> $y$ <br> (\%) | MRD <br> $x$ (\%) | MRD <br> $y$ <br> (\%) |
| 323.22 [1] | -7.4 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 0.6 | -6.9 | -0.1 | 10.5 | 0.3 | -1.1 | -0.2 | 5.6 | 1.0 |
| 373.19 [1] | -0.1 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 1.6 | -0.5 | -0.5 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | -1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 403.13 [1] | -0.1 | -0.5 | 3.6 | 2.5 | -3.2 | -1.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | -1.5 | 4.0 | 2.2 |
| 423.09 [1] | -0.7 | -2.6 | 9.3 | 4.8 | -8.0 | -1.8 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 8.4 | -0.9 | 10.6 | 3.3 |
| Literature | e data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 273.15 [28] | ] 5.3 | -1.1 | 17.1 | 30. | -3.2 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 | -4.8 | 1.7 | 28.4 | 2.6 |
| 323.15 [28] | ] -4.1 | -1.7 | 8.8 | 1.7 | -12.0 | -2.6 | 16.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 2.1 |
| 373.15 [28] | ] -0.8 | -3.4 | 2.6 | 3.4 | -4.9 | -3.1 | 8.7 | 3.8 | -1.1 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.0 |

Table B. AAE, AAD (\%), and BIAS (\%)between the Experimental and predicted (PR-MHV2-UNIFAC and GC-PR-CPA) Data in the $n$ Butane + Methanol Mixture

| System | T(K) | PR-MHV2-UNIFAC |  |  | GC-PR-CPA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AAE (mole fraction) | AAD (\%) | BIAS (\%) | AAE (mole fraction) | AAD (\%) | BIAS (\%) |
| $n$-butane + | 323.2[29] | 0.01 | 5.4 | -4.2 | 0.01 | 13 | 5.1 |
|  | 373.2[29] | 0.02 | 9.1 | -8.4 | 0.02 | 11 | -4.2 |
| methanol | 403.1[29] | 0.02 | 8.3 | -5.8 | 0.02 | 7.4 | 1.6 |

